LuaT_EX: A user's perspective

Aditya Mahajan

Abstract

In this article, I explain how to use Lua to write macros in LuaT_EX. I give some examples of macros that are complicated in pdfT_EX, but can be defined easily using Lua in LuaT_EX. These examples include macros that do arithmetic on their arguments, use loops, and parse their arguments.

1 Introduction

TEX is getting a new engine — LuaTEX. As its name suggests, LuaTEX adds Lua, a programming language, to TEX, the typesetter. I cannot overemphasize the significance of being able to program TEX in a high-level programming language. For example, consider a TEX macro that divides two numbers. Such a macro is provided by the fp package and also by pgfmath library of the TikZ package. The following comment is from the fp package

```
\def\FP@div#1#2.#3.#4\relax#5.#6.#7\relax{%
```

```
% [...] algorithmic idea (for x>0, y>0)
%
    - %determine \FP@shift such that
%
       y*10^\FP@shift < 10000000
%
                      <=v*10^(\FP@shift+1)
%
    - %determine \FP@shift' such that
%
       x*10^\FP@shift'< 10000000
%
                       <=x*10^(\FP@shift+1)
%
    - x=x*\FP@shift'
%
      y=y*\FP@shift
%
    - \FP@shift=\FP@shift-\FP@shift'
%
    - res=0
%
    - while y>0 %fixed-point representation!
%
        \FP@times=0
%
        while x>y
%
          \FP@times=\FP@times+1
    _
%
          x=x-y
%
        end
%
        v=v/10
%
        res=10*res+\FP@times/100000000
%
    - end
%
    - %shift the result according to \FP@shift
```

The pgfmath library implements the macro in a similar way, but limits the number of shifts that it does. These macros highlight the state of affairs in writing T_EX macros. Even simple things like multiplying two numbers are hard; you either have to work extremely hard to circumvent the programming limitations of T_EX, or, more frequently, hope that someone else has done the hard work for you. In LuaT_EX, such a function can be written using the / operator (I will explain the details later):

\def\DIVIDE#1#2{\directlua{tex.print(#1/#2)}}

Thus, with LuaT_EX ordinary users can write simple macros; and, perhaps more importantly, can read and understand macros written by $T_{E}X$ wizards.

Since the LuaT_EX project started it has been actively supported by $ConT_EXt$.¹ These days, the various "How do I write such a macro" questions on the ConT_EXt mailing list are answered by a solution that uses Lua. I present a few such examples in this article. I have deliberately avoided examples about fonts and non-Latin languages. There is already quite a bit of documentation about them. In this article, I want to highlight how to use LuaT_EX to write macros that require some "flow control": randomized outputs, loops, and parsing.

2~ Interaction between $T_{\ensuremath{\overline{E}}} X$ and Lua

To a first approximation, the interaction between T_EX and Lua is straightforward. When T_EX (i.e., the LuaT_FX engine) starts, it loads the input file in memory and processes it token by token. When T_FX encounters \directlua, it stops reading the file in memory, fully expands the argument of \directlua, and passes the control to a Lua instance. The Lua instance, which runs with a few preloaded libraries, processes the expanded arguments of \directlua. This Lua instance has a special output stream which can be accessed using tex.print(...) The function tex.print(...) is just like the Lua function print(...) except that tex.print(...) prints to a "TFX stream" rather than to the standard output. When the Lua instance finishes processing its input, it passes the contents of the "T_FX stream" back to T_FX.² T_FX then inserts the contents of the "TFX stream" at the current location of the file that it was reading; expands the contents of the "T_FX stream"; and continues. If T_FX encounters another \directlua, the above process is repeated.

As an exercise, imagine what happens when the following input is processed by LuaTEX.³

\directlua%

¹ Not surprising, as two of LuaT_EX's main developers — Taco Hoekwater and Hans Hagen — are also the main ConT_EXt developers.

² The output of tex.print(...) is buffered and not passed to TFX until the Lua instance has stopped.

³ In this example, I used two different kinds of quotations to avoid escaping quotes. Escaping quotes inside \directlua is tricky. The above was a contrived example; if you ever need to escape quotes, you can use the \startluacode ... \stopluacode syntax explained later.

On top of these LuaTEX primitives, ConTEXt provides a higher level interface. There are two ways to call Lua from ConTEXt. The first is a macro \ctxlua (read as ConTEXt Lua), which is similar to \directlua . (Aside: It is possible to run the Lua instance under different name spaces. \ctxlua is the default name space; other name spaces are explained later.) \txlua is good for calling small snippets of Lua. The argument of \ctxlua is parsed under normal TEX catcodes (category codes), so the end of line character has the same catcode as a space. This can lead to surprises. For example, if you try to use a Lua comment, everything after the comment gets ignored.

```
\ctxlua
```

{-- A lua comment
 tex.print("This is not printed")}

This can be avoided by using a T_EX comment instead of a Lua comment. However, working under normal T_EX catcodes poses a bigger problem: special T_EX characters like &, #, \$, $\{$, $\}$, etc., need to be escaped. For example, # has to be escaped with \string to be used in \ctxlua.

\ctxlua

{local t = {1,2,3,4} tex.print("length " .. \string#t)}

As the argument of \txlua is fully expanded, escaping characters can sometimes be tricky. To circumvent this problem, ConTEXt defines a environment called $\txluacode ... \txluacode$. This sets the catcodes to what one would expect in Lua. Basically only $\$ has its usual TEX meaning, the catcode of everything else is set to other. So, for all practical purposes, we can forget about catcodes in $\txluacode ... \txluacode ... \txluacod$

```
\startluacode
```

```
-- A lua comment
   tex.print("This is printed.")
local t = {1,2,3,4}
   tex.print("length " .. #t)
\stopluacode
```

This environment is meant for moderately sized code snippets. For longer Lua code, it is more convenient to write the code in a separate Lua file and then load it using Lua's dofile(...) function.

 $ConT_EXt$ also provides a Lua function to conveniently write to the T_EX stream. The function is called context(...) and it is equivalent to tex.print(string.format(...)).

Using the above, it is easy to define T_EX macros that pass control to Lua, do some processing in Lua, and then pass the result back to T_EX . For example,

a macro to convert a decimal number to hexadecimal can be written simply, by asking Lua to do the conversion.

\def\TOHEX#1{\ctxlua{context("\%X",#1)}} \TOHEX{35}

The percent sign had to be escaped because \txlua assumes T_EX catcodes. Sometimes, escaping arguments can be difficult; instead, it can be easier to define a Lua function inside $\txluacode \ldots$. \tyluacode and call it using \txlua . For example, a macro that takes a comma separated list of strings and prints a random item can be written as \txluacode

```
userdata = userdata or {}
math.randomseed( os.time() )
function userdata.random(...)
    context(arg[math.random(1, #arg)])
end
```

\stopluacode

\def\CHOOSERANDOM#1% {\ctxlua{userdata.random(#1)}}

\CHOOSERANDOM{"one", "two", "three"}

I could have written a wrapper so that the function takes a list of words and chooses a random word among them. For an example of such a conversion, see the "sorting a list of tokens" page on the LuaT_EX wiki [2].

In the above, I created a name space called userdata and defined the function random in that name space. Using a name space avoids clashes with the Lua functions defined in LuaT_FX and ConT_FXt.

In order to avoid name clashes, ConT_EXt also defines independent name spaces of Lua instances. They are

user : a private user instance
third : third party module instance
module : ConTEXt module instance
isolated : an isolated instance

isolated : an isolated instance

Thus, for example, instead of \txlua and $\txluacode ... \stopluacode, the user instance can be accessed via the macros <math>\usercode$ and $\txlusercode ... \stopusercode. In instances other than isolated, all the Lua functions defined by ConTEXt (but not the inbuilt Lua functions) are stored in a global name space. In the isolated instance, all Lua functions defined by ConTEXt are hidden and cannot be accessed. Using these instances, we could write the above <math>\CHOOSERANDOM$ macro as follows

```
\startusercode
```

math.randomseed(global.os.time())

function random(...)
global.context(arg[math.random(1, #arg)])
end
\stopusercode

\def\CHOOSERANDOM#1%

{\usercode{random(#1)}}

Since I defined the function random in the user instance of Lua, I did not bother to use a separate name space for the function. The Lua functions os.time, which is defined by a LuaT_EX library, and context, which is defined by ConT_EXt, needed to be accessed through a global name space. On the other hand, the math.randomseed function, which is part of Lua, could be accessed as is.

A separate Lua instance also makes debugging slightly easier. With \ctxlua the error message starts with

! LuaTeX error <main ctx instance>:

With \usercode the error message starts with

! LuaTeX error <private user instance>:

This makes it easier to narrow down the source of error.

Normally, it is best to define your Lua functions in the user name space. If you are writing a module, then define your Lua functions in the third instance and in a name space which is the name of your module. In this article, I will simply use the default Lua instance, but take care to define all my Lua functions in a userdata name space.

Now that we have some idea of how to work with $LuaT_{E}X$, let's look at some examples.

3 Arithmetic without using a abacus

Doing simple arithmetic in T_EX can be extremely difficult, as illustrated by the division macro in the introduction. With Lua, simple arithmetic becomes trivial. For example, if you want a macro to find the cosine of an angle (in degrees), you can write

\def\COSINE#1%

{\ctxlua(context(math.cos(#1*2*pi/360))}

The built-in math.cos function assumes that the argument is specified in radians, so we convert from degrees to radians on the fly. If you want to type the value of π in an article, you can simply say

\$\pi = \ctxlua{context(math.pi)}\$

or if you want less precision (notice the percent sign is escaped)

\$\pi = \ctxlua{context("\%.6f", math.pi)}\$

4 Loops without worrying about expansion

Loops in T_FX are tricky because macro assignments

and macro expansion interact in strange ways. For example, suppose we want to typeset a table showing the sum of the roll of two dice and want the output to look like this

| (+) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

The tedious (but faster!) way to achieve this is to simply type the whole table by hand. For example, \bTABLE

\bTR \bTD \$(+)\$ \eTD \bTD 1 \eTD ... \eTR \bTR \bTD \eTD \bTD 2 \eTD ... \eTR 1 .

∖eTABLE

It is however natural to want to write this table as a loop, and compute the values. A first ConT_EXt implementation using the recursion level might be: \bTABLE

\bTR

```
\bTD $(+)$ \eTD
\dorecurse{6}
 {\bTD \recurselevel \eTD}
 \eTR
\dorecurse{6}
 {\bTD \recurselevel \eTD
  \edef\firstrecurselevel{\recurselevel}
 \dorecurse{6}
 {\bTD
  \the\numexpr\firstrecurselevel+\recurselevel
 \eTD}%
 \eTR}
\eTABLE
```

However, this does not work as expected, yielding all zeros. A natural table stores the contents of all the cells, before typesetting it. But it does not expand the contents of its cell before storing them. So, at the time the table is actually typeset, T_EX has already finished the \dorecurse and \recurselevel is set to 0.

The solution is to place $\mbox{expandafter}$ at the correct location(s) to coax T_FX into expanding

the **\recurselevel** macro before the natural table stores the cell contents. The difficult part is figuring out the exact location of **\expandafters**. Here is a solution that works:

```
\bTABLE
 \bTR
  \bTD $(+)$ \eTD
  \dorecurse{6}
   {\expandafter \bTD \recurselevel \eTD}
  \eTR
\dorecurse{6}
{\bTR
 \edef\firstrecurselevel{\recurselevel}
\expandafter\bTD \recurselevel \eTD
\dorecurse{6}
{\expandafter\bTD
  \the\numexpr\firstrecurselevel+\recurselevel
  \relax
  eTD
eTR
\eTABLE
```

We only needed to add three **\expandafters** to make the naive loop work. Nevertheless, finding the right location pf **\expandafter** can be frustrating, especially for a non-expert.

By contrast, in LuaT_EX writing loops is easy. Once a Lua instance starts, T_EX does not see anything until the Lua instance exits. So, we can write the loop in Lua, and simply print the values that we would have typed to the T_EX stream. When the control is passed to T_EX, T_EX sees the input as if we had typed it by hand. Consequently, macro expansion is no longer an issue. For example, we can get the above table by:

```
\startluacode
context.bTABLE()
  context.bTR()
    context.bTD() context("$(+)$") context.eTD()
   for j=1,6 do
      context.bTD() context(j) context.eTD()
    end
  context.eTR()
 for i=1,6 do
    context.bTR()
    context.bTD() context(i) context.eTD()
    for j=1,6 do
      context.bTD() context(i+j) context.eTD()
    end
    context.eTR()
  end
context.eTABLE()
\stopluacode
```

The Lua functions such as context.bTABLE() and context.bTR() are just abbreviations for running context ("\\bTABLE"), context("\\bTR"), etc. See the ConT_EXt Lua document manual for

more details about such functions [3]. The rest of the code is a simple nested for-loop that computes the sum of two dice. We do not need to worry about macro expansion at all!

5 Parsing input without exploding your head

In order to get around the weird rules of macro expansion, writing a parser in T_EX involves a lot of macro jugglery and catcode trickery. It is a black art, one of the biggest mysteries of T_EX for ordinary users.

As an example, let's consider typesetting chemical molecules in T_EX . Normally, molecules should be typeset in text mode rather than math mode. For example, $H_2SO_4^-$, can be input as $H\log\{2\}SO\log\{4\}^-$. Typing so much markup can be cumbersome. Ideally, we want a macro such that we type $\mbox{molecule}\{H_2SO_4^-\}$ and the macro translates this into $H\log\{2\}SO(10hi\{4\}\{--\})$. Such a macro can be written in T_EX as follows.

```
\newbox\chemlowbox
```

\def\chemlow#1%

```
{\setbox\chemlowbox
```

\hbox{{\switchtobodyfont[small]#1}}}

```
\def\chemhigh#1%
```

```
{\ifvoid\chemlowbox
    \high{{\switchtobodyfont[small]#1}}%
\else
    \lohi{\box\chemlowbox}
        {{\switchtobodyfont[small]#1}}
\fi}
```

\def\finishchem%
{\ifvoid\chemlowbox\else

```
\low{\box\chemlowbox}
\fi}
```

```
\unexpanded\def\molecule%
{\bgroup
  \catcode`\_=\active \uccode`\~=`\_
    \uppercase{\let~\chemlow}%
  \catcode`\^=\active \uccode`\~=`\^
    \uppercase{\let~\chemhigh}%
  \dostepwiserecurse {65}{90}{1}
  {\catcode \recurselevel = \active
    \uccode`\~=\recurselevel
        \uppercase{\edef~{\noexpand\finishchem
    \rawcharacter{\recurselevel}}}}%
  \catcode`\~=\active \uccode`\~=`\-
        \uppercase{\def~{--}}%
  \domolecule }%
```

\def\domolecule#1{#1\finishchem\egroup}

This monstrosity is a typical T_EX parser. Ap-

propriate characters need to be made active; occasionally, \lccode and \uccode need to be set; signaling tricks are needed (for instance, checking if \chemlowbox is void); and then magic happens (or so it seems to a flabbergasted user). More sophisticated parsers involve creating finite state automata, which look even more monstrous.

With LuaT_EX, things are different. LuaT_EX includes a general parser based on PEG (parsing expression grammar) called lpeg [4]. This makes writing parsers in T_EX much more comprehensible. For example, the above \molecule macro can be written as

```
\startluacode
```

```
userdata = userdata or {}
```

```
local lowercase
                  = lpeg.R("az")
                  = lpeg.R("AZ")
local uppercase
                  = lpeg.P("\\")
local backslash
local csname
                  = backslash * lpeg.P(1)
                  * (1-backslash)^0
local plus
                  = lpeg.P("+") / "\\textplus "
                  = lpeg.P("-") / "\\textminus "
local minus
                  = lpeg.R("09")
local digit
local sign
                  = plus + minus
                  = digit^1
local cardinal
local integer
                  = sign<sup>0</sup> * cardinal
local leftbrace
                  = lpeg.P("{")
local rightbrace = lpeg.P("}")
local nobrace
                  = 1 - (leftbrace + rightbrace)
local nested
                  = lpeg.P {leftbrace
                  * (csname + sign + nobrace
                  + lpeg.V(1))^0 * rightbrace}
                  = lpeg.P(1)
local any
local subscript = lpeg.P("_")
local superscript = lpeg.P("^")
local somescript = subscript + superscript
local content
                  = lpeg.Cs(csname + nested
                            + sign + any)
local lowhigh
                 = lpeg.Cc("\\lohi{%s}{%s}")
                   * subscript * content
                   * superscript * content
                   / string.format
local highlow
                 = lpeg.Cc("\\hilo{%s}{%s}")
                   * superscript * content
                                * content
                   * subscript
                   / string.format
                 = lpeg.Cc("\\low{%s}")
local low
                   * subscript
                                * content
                   / string.format
local high
                 = lpeg.Cc("\\high{%s}")
                   * superscript * content
                   / string.format
local justtext
                 = (1 - \text{somescript})^{1}
local parser
                 = lpeg.Cs((csname + lowhigh
```

```
+ highlow + low
+ high + sign + any)^0)
userdata.moleculeparser = parser
function userdata.molecule(str)
return parser:match(str)
end
\stopluacode
```

```
\def\molecule#1%
```

{\ctxlua{userdata.molecule("#1")}}

This is more verbose than the TEX solution, but is easier to read and write. With a proper parser, I do not have to use tricks to check if either one or both _ and ^ are present. More importantly, anyone (once they know the Lpeg syntax) can read the parser and easily understand what it does. This is in contrast to the implementation based on TEX macro jugglery which require you to implement a TEX interpreter in your head to understand.

6 Conclusion

LuaT_EX is removing many T_EX barriers: using system fonts, reading and writing Unicode files, typesetting non-Latin languages, among others. However, the biggest feature of LuaT_EX is the ability to use a high-level programming language to program T_EX. This can potentially lower the learning curve for programming T_EX.

In this article, I have mentioned only one aspect of programming T_EX : macros that manipulate their input and output some text to the main T_EX stream. Many other kinds of manipulations are possible: LuaT_EX provides access to T_EX boxes, token lists, dimensions, glues, catcodes, direction parameters, math parameters, etc. The details can be found in the LuaT_EX manual [1].

7 References

- [1] LuaT_EX reference manual, http://www.luatex.org/documentation.html
- [2] Sorting a list of tokens, in the Joy of LuaT_EX. http://luatex.bluwiki.com/go/ Sort_a_token_list
- [3] Hans Hagen, "CLD: ConTEXt Lua document", http://www.pragma-ade.com/general/ manuals/cld-mkiv.pdf
- [4] Lpeg: Parsing Expression Grammars for Lua, http://www.inf.puc-rio.br/~roberto/lpeg/ lpeg.html

 Aditya Mahajan adityam (at) umich dot edu